

(b)(3) NatSecAct

Publications Review Board

"This Web Blog is a Privacy Act system of Records"

(U) PRB Policies Concerning CSI Articles

Posted on November 14, 2012 by

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

Further discussion on what is the policy of the PRB regarding the review of book reviews

The accredited security level of this system is: ~~TOP SECRET//SI~~

prepublication review or that were submitted and not officially approved? (See forwarded lotus note- 20 Mar 2008 Board Meeting):

Studies in Intelligence, published by the CIA's Center for the Study of Intelligence, is considered to by a nonofficial publication. Issues appear with the disclaimer: "All statements of fact, opinion, or analysis expressed in *Studies in Intelligence* are those of the authors. They do not necessarily reflect official positions or views of the Central Intelligence Agency or any other US Government entity, past or present. Nothing in the contents should be construed as asserting or implying US Government endorsement of an article's factual statements, interpretations, or recommendations."

(U//~~FOUO~~) Board Decision: Following additional information from the Executive Secretary that unclassified articles appear in the classified edition of *Studies in Intelligence*, the Board reaffirmed that an unclassified book review set to appear in *Studies in Intelligence* (by a current employee or contractor) of a book that required PRB review and did not – will not receive PRB approval because the Board considers such publication to be inappropriate. CSI will have the option to request a reconsideration/appeal the Board's decision. Executive Secretary will inform CSI of the decision after a draft is reviewed by OGC Legal Advisor. The following scenarios and corresponding policy were outlined by the Board concerning unclassified book reviews of books that required PRB review and did not:

1. Where authorship of an unclassified book review is by a current employee or contractor — PRB will not provide approval because the Board, based on guidance provided by its Legal Advisor, would consider such articles as being inappropriate.
2. Where authorship of an unclassified book review is by a former employee or contractor — PRB will not provide approval for such articles for *Studies in Intelligence* because the Board considers publication in *Studies in Intelligence* to be inappropriate. Other publishing venues will be reviewed and may be approved once any classified information is deleted from the text (we do not consider "appropriateness" as a criteria for such outside — other than *Studies in Intelligence* — publications).

(b)(3) NatSecAct

The accredited security level of this system is: ~~TOP SECRET//SI~~

to then appeal to the ADDCIA (where the publication being written about should have been submitted for prepublication review and was not or where the author did not follow PRB guidance in the prepublication review process).

Related Content

- (U) CSI Book Reviews on Books that Required PRB Approval But were Published Without (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct
- [redacted]
- (U) Catalog of Topics Previously Researched – A Place to Start (A Work in Progress)
- (U) Scofflaw list

UNCLASSIFIED//~~ATUO~~

Be the first to subscribe to this post. Click here.

This entry was posted in **Imported Content, Policies** by [redacted] Bookmark the
permalink [redacted].

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

(b)(3) NatSecAct